# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

| Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked: |
|---|
| ☐ BLACK BORDERS   |
| ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES                                 |
| ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING   |
| BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING                                    |
| ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES:  |
| COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS                                    |
| GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS  |
| LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT                                     |
| REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY                   |
| OTHER:  |

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | . FILING DATE |            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/751,528      | 12/29/2000    |            | Leslie E. Cline      | 42390P10231         | 8822             |
| 8791            | 7590          | 09/03/2004 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| BLAKELY         | SOKOL         | OFF TAYLOR | CONNOLLY             | CONNOLLY, MARK A    |                  |
| 12400 WILS      | HIRE BO       | OULEVARD   |                      |                     |                  |
| SEVENTH I       | LOOR          |            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| LOS ANGE        | LES, CA       | 90025-1030 |                      | 2115                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | OF       |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|----------|--|--|--|
| Office Action S   | ummanı  | 09/751,528  | CLINE ET AL.   |          |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |          |  |  |  |
| TI MAII DIO DATE  |   | Mark Connolly   | 2115   | <u> </u> |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  | tinis communication   | appears on the cover she  | eet with the correspondence a  | daress   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified abo</li> </ul>   | IS COMMUNICATIOn the provisions of 37 CFF and the of this communication is less than thirty (30) days, a yee, the maximum statutory period period for reply will, by state than three months after the maximum safter | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, r . reply within the statutory minimum riod will apply and will expire SIX (6 atule, cause the application to beco | nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered time  MONTHS from the mailing date of this one  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) |          |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to commu  | nication(s) filed on 0  | 7 June 2004.  |  |          |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   |   | This action is non-final.   |  | ,        |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are<br>6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10 and</u><br>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>3 and 11</u> is/a   | (s) is/are without allowed. 12-29 is/are rejected re objected to.   | drawn from consideration  |  |          |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is obj   | ected to by the Exam  | niner.  |  |          |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on   | is/are: a) 🗌 a  | accepted or b)□ objecte   | ed to by the Examiner.   |          |  |  |  |
| • • • •   |   | -, ,  | peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |          |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sh  11) The oath or declaration   | , ,   | •   | awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C<br>ached Office Action or form P   | ` '      |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is material a) All b) Some * c)  1. Certified copies  2. Certified copies  3. Copies of the certified copies                                       | None of: of the priority docum of the priority docum ertified copies of the p the International Bur   | ents have been received<br>ents have been received<br>priority documents have l<br>reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | I. I in Application No been received in this National  | l Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D     Information Disclosure Statement     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Patent and Trademark Office. | rawing Review (PTO-948)   | Раре  | view Summary (PTO-413)<br>er No(s)/Mail Date<br>ee of Informal Patent Application (PT<br>r:  | O-152)   |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-29 have been presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 12, 14-19, 21, 23-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamashita, Jap Pat No 09288527.
- 4. Referring to claim 1, Yamashita teaches the apparatus comprising:
  - a. a table to contain a plurality of entries, each entry including a frequency field and a voltage field [¶ 0014].
  - b. a register coupled to the table and having a selection field to select one of the plurality of entries. Although not explicitly taught, it is inherent that the register must exist in the Yamashita system. A frequency and voltage decision circuit selects from a table a compatible voltage and frequency on which the system should run [¶ 0006, 0009 and 0014]. In order to save this selection, the above register is required.
  - c. wherein each of the entries is to indicate an operationally permissible combination of frequency and voltage [¶ 0009].
- 5. Referring to claim 4, Yamashita teaches that the frequency is a processor frequency [¶ 0004].

- 6. Referring to claim 6, Yamashita teaches that the voltage is a processor voltage [¶ 0003-0004].
- 7. Referring to claim 7, although Yamashita does not explicitly teach the table being disposed in non-volatile memory, it is inherent that the table would be so that the contents would not be lost when the system is powered off.
- 8. Referring to claim 8, it is interpreted that the Yamashita system includes at least two entries because the system is making a selection and therefore would require more than one entry in order to necessitate that selection.
- 9. Referring to claim 9, Yamashita teaches the computer system comprising:
  - d. a clock generator to selectively output a clock signal at any of a plurality of selectable processor clock frequencies [¶ 0004].
  - e. a power supply to selectively output any of a plurality of selectable processor operating voltages [¶ 0009].
  - f. a table coupled to the clock generator and the power supply and containing a plurality of entries, each entry including a frequency field and a voltage field [¶ 0014].
  - a register coupled to the table and having a selection field to select one of the plurality of entries. Although not explicitly taught, it is inherent that the register must exist in the Yamashita system. A frequency and voltage decision circuit selects from a table a compatible voltage and frequency on which the system should run [¶ 0006, 0009 and 0014]. In order to save this selection, the above register is required.

- h. wherein the entries are each to contain values in the frequency and voltage fields that represent an operationally permissible combination of frequency and voltage [¶ 0009].
- 10. Referring to claims 12 and 14-16, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.
- 11. Referring to claim 17, Yamashita teaches the method comprising:
  - i. writing into a selection field of a register. Although not explicitly taught, it is inherent that the register must exist in the Yamashita system. A frequency and voltage decision circuit selects from a table a compatible voltage and frequency on which the system should run [¶ 0006, 0009 and 0014]. In order to save this selection, it is required that the selection would need to be written into the above register.
  - j. using a content of the selection field to select one of a plurality of entries in a table, each entry having a frequency field and a voltage field containing indicators of operationally permissible values for frequency and voltage [¶ 0009].
- 12. Referring to claims 18 and 19, Yamashita teaches indicating a processor frequency and voltage [¶ 0014].
- 13. Referring to claim 21, Yamashita teaches that the selected frequency is the processor clock [¶ 0004].
- 14. Referring to claims 23 and 24, Yamashita teaches that the voltage is a processor voltage [¶ 0003-0004].
- 15. Referring to claim 25, Yamashita teaches selecting a frequency and voltage that produce a combination that is operable in the processor [¶ 0006 and 0009].

16. Referring to claims 26, 27 and 29, Yamashita teaches the method and therefore teaches the instructions stored on a machine-readable medium to be executed on a processor performing the method.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claims 2, 5, 10, 13, 20, 22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita as applied to claims 1, 4, 6-9, 12, 14-19, 21, 23-27 and 29 above.
- 19. Referring to claim 2, although Yamashita teaches a register to select one of a plurality of entries, it is not explicitly taught that the register also has a limit field to specify how many entries are selectable. In summary, Yamashita does not explicitly teach a means to enable only certain entries. Yamashita does though explicitly teach that as a battery discharges, the clock frequency and/or voltage must be reduced [¶ 0020-0022]. This is because high voltage and high frequency operation becomes infeasible as supply power diminishes. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a limit field into the Yamashita system because it would provide a means for the system to limit the selectable entries to only those that are compatible with the current battery power supply.
- 20. Referring to claim 5, although Yamashita teaches providing data for specifying a frequency for a processor, the format of that frequency specifying data is not explicitly

Application/Control Number: 09/751,528

Art Unit: 2115

taught. It would have been obvious to provide a multiplier as to indicate a processor frequency because it is well known in the art and common practice that processors typically operate at a faster rate than the system buses and processor frequencies are specified as a multiple of those internal bus clocks through a multiplier factor.

21. Referring to claims 10, 13, 20, 22 and 28, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.

## Allowable Subject Matter

22. Claims 3 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Connolly whose telephone number is (703) 305-7849. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM (except every first Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PHONE NUMBERS WILL CHANGE COME MID-OCTOBER.

Mark Connolly (571) 272-3666

Thomas C Lee (571) 272-3667

Tech Center Main Number (571) 272-2100

Mark Connolly Examiner Art Unit 2115

mc August 26, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATES

TECHNOLOGY CENT.